# On the Trace of the Product of Pauli Matrices Occurring as $a_r = a_{r_i}\sigma_i + ia_{r_4}$ and that of the Product of Dirac Matrices, and the Interconnection between them

## S. SARKAR

Department of Theoretical Physics, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Jadavpur, Calcutta-32, India

#### Received: 20 September 1972

#### Abstract

In this paper we have evaluated  $\sigma_i u\sigma_i, \sigma_i \sigma_j u\sigma_i \sigma_j$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma_i u)\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma_i v)$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma_i \sigma_j u)\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma_i \sigma_j v)$ and  $\operatorname{Tr}(u)$  where u and v involve Pauli matrices  $\sigma_i$  and the 2 × 2 unit matrix in the form of products of elements of the type  $a_r = a_{r_l}\sigma_i + ia_{r_4}$ , with the help of the results of the trace calculation involving Dirac matrices. We have evaluated  $\gamma_v U\gamma_v, \gamma_u S\gamma_u, \gamma_v U\gamma_v \gamma_v$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma_\mu S)\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma_\mu S')$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma_\mu \gamma_v U)\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma_\mu \gamma_v V)$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma_5 U)\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma_5 V)$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma_5 U)$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}(U)$ . Here U, V are products of an even number of elements and S, S' are products of an odd number of elements of the type  $A_r$  (=  $A_{r_\mu}\gamma_\mu$ ). We have also dealt with the cases in which the dummy suffixes i and  $\mu$  occurring in some of the above expressions are replaced by 'a' which assume any specific value instead of implying a summation. We have considered also the evaluation of the above-mentioned traces when the term,  $1 \pm \gamma_5$ , occurs within the trace brackets; this is required in the calculation of the trace sinvolving  $\sigma_i$  and the unit 2 × 2 matrix. It has been shown that the problem of the trace calculation involving Dirac matrices can be reduced to one involving three Pauli matrices  $\sigma_i$  and the unit 2 × 2 matrix.

#### Introduction

In a previous paper (Sarkar, 1971) we have dealt with the trace of the product of an arbitrary number of elements  $a_r$ , which involve Pauli matrices in the manner  $a_r = a_{r_i}\sigma_i$  (summation over repeated suffix is usually implied). In this paper we consider the same problem when  $a_r = a_{r_i}\sigma_i + ia_{r_4} = a_{r_u}\sigma_\mu$  (where we write  $\sigma_4 = i$ ). In the notation used in this paper, Greek suffixes  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ , . . ., etc., stand for any number from 1 to 4, while Latin suffixes  $i, j, \ldots$ , etc., take any value from 1 to 3. It is shown in this paper that the above problem involving elements like  $a_r$  can be related to an equivalent problem involving  $A_r (= A_{r_\mu}\gamma_\mu)$  and a term  $1 \pm \gamma_5$ .  $\gamma_\mu$  are Dirac matrices. Further, the trace of the product of Dirac matrices  $A_r (= A_{r_\mu}\gamma_\mu)$  and the same containing one  $\gamma_5$  matrix can also be related to one involving four  $2 \times 2$  matrices, namely,  $\sigma_i$  and 1. Now  $A_r$  considered here does not involve any  $4 \times 4$  unit matrix as we find in the term  $p_\mu \gamma_\mu + im$ occurring in the perturbation calculation for a particle with mass m and

Copyright © 1973 Plenum Publishing Company Limited. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopyring, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission of Plenum Publishing Company Limited.

<sup>12</sup> 

four momenta  $p_{\mu}$ . We may infer that the trace calculation can be reduced to one which involves four  $2 \times 2$  matrices,  $\sigma_i$  and 1 when we deal with the scattering problem for massless fermions. We may point out that the term  $1 \pm \gamma_5$  occurs when we deal with the weak interaction problem involving leptons. The term  $1 \pm \gamma_5$  is related to the helicity or the spin projection operator for a massless particle. In this paper we also give results for the trace of Dirac matrices when the term  $1 \pm \gamma_5$  occurs within the trace brackets. This result may be useful in the solution of weak interaction problems and other problems involving extremely relativistic longitudinally polarised particles.

We have first shown that any even string which is the product of an even number of elements like  $A_r (= A_{r_{\mu}} \gamma_{\mu})$  can be written in a form in which the new elements consist of two 2 × 2 submatrices along the diagonal. The 2 × 2 submatrices involve the Pauli matrices  $\sigma_i$  and the 2 × 2 unit matrix. Throughout this paper we have used the abbreviated notation of the trace bracket, Tr(U) = (U). We have evaluated  $\gamma_{\mu}U\gamma_{\mu}$ ,  $\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}U\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}U\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}U(\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}V), \gamma_{\mu}S\gamma_{\mu}$  and  $(\gamma_{\mu}S)(\gamma_{\mu}S')$  where U, V are even strings and S, S' are odd strings.  $\gamma_{\mu}S\gamma_{\mu}$  and  $(\gamma_{\mu}S)(\gamma_{\mu}S')$  were also evaluated by Chisholm (1963) using a different method. We have further given an evaluation of  $(\gamma_5 U)(\gamma_5 V)$  in a form which does not involve the  $\gamma_5$  matrix. Application of this result yields a reduction formula for an efficient evaluation of  $(\gamma_5 U)$ , (U) and  $([1 + \gamma_5]U)$ .

In this paper we use the convention that capital letters S, S', U and V denote strings involving Dirac matrices and small letters u, v stand for the same involving Pauli matrices  $\sigma_i$  and 1. With the help of the results for the trace calculation involving Dirac matrices we have derived the formulae for the evaluation of  $\sigma_{\mu}u\sigma_{\mu}$ ,  $\sigma_{\mu}\sigma_{\nu}u\sigma_{\mu}\sigma_{\nu}$ ,  $(\sigma_{\mu}u)(\sigma_{\mu}v)$ ,  $(\sigma_{\mu}\sigma_{\nu}u)(\sigma_{\mu}\sigma_{\nu}v)$ , (u). Some reduction formulae for  $\gamma_a S\gamma_a$ ,  $(\gamma_a S)(\gamma_a S')$ ,  $\sigma_a u\sigma_a$  and  $(\sigma_a u)(\sigma_a v)$  are obtained in this paper where the particular repeated suffix 'a' does not imply any summation and can assume any specific value permitted for the suffix. In this connection we may point out that Chisholm (1966) has evaluated the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_r \sigma_a \sigma_b \dots \sigma_d \sigma_r$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^3 \dots \sigma_r \dots T_r(\sigma_r \sigma_a \sigma_b \dots \sigma_d)$ .

## **Calculation**

Let us define U to be an even string of Dirac matrices of the following type

$$U = A_1, A_2, \dots A_n = \prod_{p=1}^n A_r$$
 (1)

where *n* is even and

$$A_r = A_{r_i} \gamma_i + A_{r_4} \gamma_4 = A_{r_\mu} \gamma_\mu \tag{2}$$

We can write

$$U = [iA_{1i}\gamma_{i}\gamma_{4}\gamma_{5} + i\gamma_{5}A_{1,4}] [-i\gamma_{5}\gamma_{4}] [iA_{2i}\gamma_{i}\gamma_{4}\gamma_{5} + i\gamma_{5}A_{2,4}] \times [-i\gamma_{5}\gamma_{4}] \dots [iA_{n_{i}}\gamma_{i}\gamma_{4}\gamma_{5} + i\gamma_{5}A_{n_{4}}] [-i\gamma_{5}\gamma_{4}]$$
(3)  
$$= \prod_{r=1}^{n} [iA_{r_{i}}\gamma_{i}\gamma_{4}\gamma_{5} - i(-1)^{r}\gamma_{5}A_{r_{4}}]$$
(4)

Then we have

$$(U[1 \pm \gamma_5]) = \left(\prod_{r=1}^n \left[iA_{r_i}\gamma_i\gamma_4\gamma_5 \mp i(-1)^r A_{r_4}\right] [1 + \gamma_5]\right)$$
(5)

We choose the following representation for Dirac matrices

$$\gamma_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\sigma_k \\ i\sigma_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \gamma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we get

$$i\gamma_i\gamma_4\gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_i & 0\\ 0 & \sigma_i \end{pmatrix} \tag{6}$$

With the help of relations (5) and (6) we can express the trace involving four  $2 \times 2$  matrices, viz.,  $\sigma_i$  and the  $2 \times 2$  unit matrix in terms of the trace involving Dirac matrices in the following manner.

$$(u) = \left(\prod_{r=1}^{n} \left[a_{r_{i}}\sigma_{i} + ia_{r_{4}}\right]\right) = \left(\prod_{r=1}^{n} a_{r_{\mu}}\sigma_{\mu}\right)$$
(7)

$$=\frac{1}{2}(U[1+\gamma_{5}])$$
(8)

where

$$\sigma_4 = i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}$$

 $a_{r_{\mu}}$  and  $A_{r_{\mu}}$  are interrelated in the following manner

$$a_{r_i} = A_{r_i}, \qquad a_{r_4} = -(-1)^r A_{r_4}$$
 (10)

With the help of equations (7), (8) and (10) and the relation

$$(U) = (U_R) \tag{11}$$

we have

$$(u_R) = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{U}_R[1 + \gamma_5]) \tag{12}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_4 U_R \gamma_4 [1 + \gamma_5]) = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_4 U \gamma_4 [1 + \gamma_5]) = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{U} [1 + \gamma_5])$$
(13)

and

$$(\bar{u}) = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{U}[1+\gamma_5]) = \frac{1}{2}(U[1-\gamma_5])$$
(14)

From equations (13) and (14) we get

$$(\bar{u}) = (u_R) \tag{15}$$

Where the suffix R of  $U_R$  and  $u_R$  denotes that we have to deal with a reversed string, formed by writing the matrices in the reverse order, e.g.,

$$U_{\mathbf{R}} = A_n, A_{n-1}, \dots A_1 \tag{16}$$

$$u_R = a_n, a_{n-1}, \ldots a_1 \tag{17}$$

In  $\overline{U}$  and  $\overline{u}$  the relevant quantities  $\overline{A}_{r_{\mu}}$  and  $\overline{a}_{r_{\mu}}$  are given by

$$\tilde{A}_{r_1} = A_{r_1}, \qquad \tilde{A}_{r_4} = -A_{r_4}$$
 (18)

$$\tilde{a}_{r_i} = a_{r_i}, \qquad \tilde{a}_{r_4} = -a_{r_4}$$
(19)

173

S. SARKAR

So that the notation of 'bar' implies that the sign of the fourth component is to be reversed.

Equations (8), (14) and (15) yield the following relations,

$$(U) = (u) + (\bar{u}) = (u) + (u_R)$$
(20)

$$(\gamma_5 U) = (u) - (\bar{u}) = (u) - (u_R)$$
(21)

We know that six elements of the type  $\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu}\gamma_{\mu}$  are the same as six independent elements of the type  $\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_1 - \gamma_1\gamma_{\mu}$  and  $\gamma_5[\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_1 - \gamma_1\gamma_{\mu}]$ . Here  $\gamma_1$ may be replaced by any one of the four Dirac matrices and to indicate this we replace  $\gamma_1$  by  $\gamma_a$ . Suffixes  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are assumed to take all values from 1 to 4. Then we have the following obvious relations.

$$\frac{1}{2}[\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu}\gamma_{\mu}]U[\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu}\gamma_{\mu}] = [\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a} - \gamma_{a}\gamma_{\mu}]U[\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a} - \gamma_{a}\gamma_{\mu}] + \gamma_{5}[\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a} - \gamma_{a}\gamma_{\mu}]U\gamma_{5}[\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a} - \gamma_{a}\gamma_{\mu}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}[\gamma_{\nu}\gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu}\gamma_{\nu}]U[\gamma_{\nu}\gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu}\gamma_{\nu}]$$
(22)

$$= \frac{1}{4} [\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_{\mu}] O [\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_{\mu}] + \frac{1}{4} \gamma_{5} [\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_{\mu}] U \gamma_{5} [\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_{\mu}]$$
(23)

When U is an even string equation (22) provides us with the following relation

$$\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}U\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu} = 4\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a}U\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a}$$
(24)

From equation (24) we get

$$\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}U\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu} = 4\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a}\gamma_{\lambda}A_{1\lambda}A_{2}\dots A_{n}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a}$$
(25)

Now using the fact that the suffix 'a' can always be adjusted to coincide with a particular value of the dummy suffix  $\lambda$  or any suffix 'a' which like 'a' is not dummy, we obtain

$$\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}U\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu} = 4\gamma_{\mu}A_{2}A_{3}\dots A_{n}\gamma_{\mu}A_{1}$$
(26)

$$= 4\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a}\gamma_{a'}A_{2}A_{3}\ldots A_{n}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a}\gamma_{a'}A_{1}$$
(27)

As stated before throughout this paper the repeated suffix 'a' does not imply any summation. Repeatedly applying the procedure adopted in the derivation of equation (26) with the help of equation (24), and using relation (27), we obtain the following relation for an even string U.

$$\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}U\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu} = 4\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a}A_{3}A_{4}\dots A_{n}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a}A_{2}A_{1}$$
<sup>(28)</sup>

$$= \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} A_{r+1} A_{r+2} \dots A_n \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} A_r A_{r-1} \dots A_1$$
(29)

$$= 4\gamma_{\mu}A_{r}A_{r+1}\dots A_{n}\gamma_{\mu}A_{r-1}A_{r-2}\dots A_{1}$$
(30)

$$=-8U_R \tag{31}$$

where r is even in equations (29) and (30).

From relations (26) and (31) we get the following results for an odd string S

$$\gamma_{\mu} S \gamma_{\mu} = -2S_R \tag{32}$$

174

From equations (24) and (31) we find

$$\gamma_{\mu} U \gamma_{\mu} = 2 \gamma_a [U + U_R] \gamma_a \tag{33}$$

For a string V' occurring within the trace bracket we have the following relations similar to those given by equations (22) and (23)

$$\frac{1}{2}[\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu}\gamma_{\mu}]([\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu}\gamma_{\mu}]V') = [\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a} - \gamma_{a}\gamma_{\mu}]([\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a} - \gamma_{a}\gamma_{\mu}]V') + \gamma_{5}[\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a} - \gamma_{a}\gamma_{\mu}](\gamma_{5}[\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a} - \gamma_{a}\gamma_{\mu}]V') + \gamma_{5}[\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a} - \gamma_{a}\gamma_{\mu}]V')$$
(34)

$$=\frac{1}{4}[\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}-\gamma_{\nu}\gamma_{\mu}]([\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}-\gamma_{\nu}\gamma_{\mu}]V')+\frac{1}{4}\gamma_{5}[\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}-\gamma_{\nu}\gamma_{\mu}](\gamma_{5}[\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}-\gamma_{\nu}\gamma_{\mu}]V')$$
(35)

Equations (34) and (35) yield the following relations

$$\gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu (\gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu V') = \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu (\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu V') + 4(V') - 4\gamma_5(\gamma_5 V')$$
(36)

and

$$\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}(\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}V') + \gamma_{5}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}(\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}V') = 4\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a}(\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a}V') + 4\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a}(\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{a}V')$$
(37)

Let us transfer in equation (37) the elements of  $V' (= A_1' A_2' \dots A_n')$  from the inside of the trace bracket to the outside following the procedure used in obtaining equations (25) to (31). This enables us to derive from equation (37) the following relation

$$\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}(\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}V') + \gamma_{5}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}(\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}V') = 16V_{R}'$$
(38)

Putting  $U = V_{R}'$  in equation (38) we obtain the following expression for U.

$$16U = 2\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}(\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}U_{R}) + 4\gamma_{5}(\gamma_{5}U) - 4(U)$$
(39)

Equations (39) and (11) also lead to the relation

$$2U + 2U_R = (U) + \gamma_5(\gamma_5 U) \tag{40}$$

Using relation (40) we obtain from equation (33)

$$\gamma_{\mu} U \gamma_{\mu} = (U) - \gamma_5(\gamma_5 U) \tag{41}$$

$$= 2(U) - 2U - 2U_R \tag{42}$$

Putting  $V' = \gamma_a S$  (where S is an odd string) in equations (37) and (38), we obtain the following relation

$$4S = \gamma_{\mu}(\gamma_{\mu} S_{R}) + \gamma_{5} \gamma_{\mu}(\gamma_{5} \gamma_{\mu} S_{R})$$
  
=  $\gamma_{\mu}(\gamma_{\mu} S) - \gamma_{5} \gamma_{\mu}(\gamma_{5} \gamma_{\mu} S)$  (43)

Equation (43) provides us with the following relation

$$(S' \gamma_{\mu})(\gamma_{\mu} S) = 2([S + S_R] S')$$
(44)

Equations (32) and (44) were already deduced by Chisholm (1963) in a different manner.

Equation (44) leads to the relation

$$(S\gamma_{\mu}[1+\gamma_{5}])(S'\gamma_{\mu}[1+\gamma_{5}]) = 4(S_{R}S'[1-\gamma_{5}])$$
(45)

S. SARKAR

From equations (42) and (33), with  $\gamma_a S$  replacing U, we obtain, after some manipulation, the following result

$$(\gamma_a S)(\gamma_a S') = ([S_R + S][S' + \gamma_a S' \gamma_a])$$
(46)

The substitution,  $U = \gamma_a S$  in equation (40) leads to the following relation

$$\gamma_a S \gamma_a = -S_R + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_a (\gamma_a S) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_5 \gamma_a (\gamma_5 \gamma_a S)$$
(47)

From equations (39) and (40) we have

$$(\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}U)(\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}V) = 4([U_{R}-U]V) + 4(U)(V)$$
(48)

$$(\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu} U[1+\gamma_{5}])(\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu} V [1+\gamma_{5}]) = -16(UV[1+\gamma_{5}]) + 8(U[1+\gamma_{5}])(V[1+\gamma_{5}]) (V[1+\gamma_{5}])$$
(49)

Equation (40) leads to the relation

$$(\gamma_5 U)(\gamma_5 V) = 2(V[U+U_R]) - (U)(V)$$
(50)

we may note that the r.h.s. of equation (50) does not involve the  $\gamma_5$  matrix. From equation (50) we have

$$([1+\gamma_5] U)([1+\gamma_5] V) = 2(V[U+U_R][1+\gamma_5])$$
(51)

Taking  $S = A_1 A_2 \dots A_m$  and  $S' = A_{m+1} A_{m+2} \dots A_n$  and using equation (43) we obtain the following formula for determining  $(\gamma_5 U)$ ,

$$4(\gamma_5 U) = 4(\gamma_5 A_1 A_2 \dots A_n) = -\sum_{r=1}^m (-1)^r (A_1 A_2 \dots A_{r-1} A_{r+1} \dots A_m) . (\gamma_5 A_r S') -\sum_{t>m}^n (-1)^t (\gamma_5 A_t S) (A_{m+1} A_{m+2} \dots A_{t-1} A_{t+1} \dots A_n)$$
(52)

From the relation

$$2(\gamma_5[U+U_R]V) = (U)(\gamma_5 V) + (\gamma_5 U)(V)$$
(53)

obtained from equation (40), we can develop another efficient method for determining  $(\gamma_5 A_1 A_2 \dots A_n)$ .

Putting  $U = A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4$  and  $V = A_5 A_6 \dots A_n$  in equation (53) we get the following relation

$$4(\gamma_5 A_1 A_2 \dots A_n) = 4(\gamma_5 UV) = 2(\gamma_5 [U - U_R + (U)] V) - (\gamma_5 V) (U) + (V) (\gamma_5 U) (54)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{2}[U - U_R + (U)] = A_1 \cdot A_2 A_3 A_4 - A_1 \cdot A_3 A_2 A_4 + A_1 \cdot A_4 A_2 A_3 + A_2 \cdot A_3 A_1 A_4 - A_2 \cdot A_4 A_1 A_3 + A_3 \cdot A_4 A_1 A_2$$
(55)

Equations (52), for the case m = 3, and (54) have also been derived in our previous paper (Sarkar, 1971) in a different manner.

Replacing V by  $V\gamma_5$  in equation (54) we can obtain an efficient method for determining  $(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n)$ .

From equation (54) we have

$$4([1+\gamma_5]A_1A_2...A_n) = 2([1+\gamma_5][U-U_R+(U)]V) - ([1-\gamma_5]U)([1+\gamma_5]V)$$
(56)

Let us now obtain some results involving four  $2 \times 2$  matrices, viz.,  $\sigma_i$ and  $\sigma_4$  (which is defined by equation (9)) with the help of the formulae involving the Dirac matrices already derived in this paper. Using equations (24) (for a = 4 and a = 1) and (31) we can obtain with the help of relations (4) to (10) the following results:

$$\sigma_{\mu} u \sigma_{\mu} = -\sigma_{1} \sigma_{\mu} u \sigma_{1} \sigma_{\mu} \tag{57}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \sigma_{\mu} \sigma_{\nu} u \sigma_{\mu} \sigma_{\nu} \tag{58}$$

$$=2\bar{u}_R\tag{59}$$

where the form of u is given in equation (7). The form of  $\bar{u}_R$  is obtained with the help of equations (17) and (19).

From equation (39) we obtain

$$4U \left[1 + \gamma_5\right] = \gamma_u \gamma_a \left[1 + \gamma_5\right] \left(\gamma_u \gamma_a U_R \left[1 + \gamma_5\right]\right) \tag{60}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} [1 + \gamma_{5}] (\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} U_{R} [1 + \gamma_{5}])$$
(61)

With the assistance of equations (4) to (7) we can obtain from equations (60) and (61) the following relations

$$4u = 2\sigma_{\mu}\sigma_{1}(\sigma_{\mu}\sigma_{1}\bar{u}_{R}) \tag{62}$$

$$= -2\sigma_{\mu}(\sigma_{\mu}\,\bar{u}_{R}) \tag{63}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\mu} \sigma_{\nu} (\sigma_{\mu} \sigma_{\nu} \tilde{u}_{R}) \tag{64}$$

From equations (63) and (9) we have

$$2(uv) = (\tilde{u}_R)(v) - (\sigma_i v)(\sigma_i \tilde{u}_R)$$
(65)

From the relation

$$(U[1+\gamma_5]) \cdot [1+\gamma_5] = 2[U_R+U] [1+\gamma_5]$$
(66')

derived from equation (40) and using equations (8), (5) and (6) we obtain the following result

$$(u) = u + \tilde{u}_R \tag{66}$$

Equation (63) combined with equation (66) leads to the relation

$$(\sigma_i v)(\sigma_i u) = ([u - \bar{u}_R]v)$$
(67)

From equation (59) we get the relation

$$\sigma_i \, u \sigma_i = u + 2 \bar{u}_R \tag{68}$$

From equations (58) and (64) it is possible to evaluate  $\sigma_i \sigma_j u \sigma_i \sigma_j$  and  $(\sigma_i \sigma_j u)(\sigma_i \sigma_j v)$ .

#### S. SARKAR

Replacing u by  $\sigma_a u \sigma_4$  (=  $i \sigma_a u$ ) in equation (66) and then multiplying the resulting equation by  $\sigma_a$ , from the right we obtain the following relation

$$\sigma_a u \sigma_a = \sigma_a(\sigma_a u) + \tilde{u}_R \tag{69}$$

Equation (69) leads to the relation

$$(\sigma_a v)(\sigma_a u) = (\sigma_a u \sigma_a v) - (\bar{u}_R v)$$
(70)

In equations (69) and (70) the repeated index 'a' does not indicate any summation and can assume any specific value from 1 to 3.

With the help of equations (8), (56), (55), (10), (14) and (15) we obtain the following reduction formula for the trace (u)

$$(u) = (a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) = \frac{1}{2} (U[1 + \gamma_5]) = ([a_1 \dots a_2 a_3 a_4 - a_1 \dots a_3 \tilde{a}_2 a_4 + a_1 \dots a_4 \tilde{a}_2 \tilde{a}_3 + a_2 \dots a_3 a_1 a_4 - a_2 \dots a_4 a_1 \tilde{a}_3 + a_3 \dots a_4 a_1 a_2] a_5 a_6 \dots a_n) - \frac{1}{2} (a_4 a_3 a_2 a_1) (a_5 a_6 \dots a_n)$$
(71)

wherein  $\bar{a}_r$  has been defined in equation (19).

We may note that the  $4 \times 4$  Dirac matrices  $\gamma_{\mu}$  generate a sixteen-element algebra—the Dirac algebra—of which the elements are 1,  $\gamma_{\mu}$ ,  $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $i\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5$ and  $\gamma_5$ , whereas the 2 × 2 Pauli matrices  $\sigma_i$  generate a four-element algebra, of which the elements are  $\sigma_i$  and 1. It may be convenient for numerical calculation of the trace of 4 × 4 Dirac matrices if we reduce it to one involving 2 × 2 matrices  $\sigma_{\mu}$  with the help of the formulae derived in this paper.

## Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank Prof. N. C. Sil for taking kind interest in this paper.

#### References

Chisholm, J. S. R. (1963). Nuovo Cimento, 30, 426. Chisholm, J. S. R. (1966). Nuovo Cimento, 43A, 1185. Sarkar, S. (1971). Acta Physica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae, 30, 351.

178